9. Least squares

- least squares problem
- solution and normal equations
- multi-objective least squares
- control
- estimation and inversion

Least squares problem

- let A be $m \times n$ and consider Ax = b where b is an m-vector
- in most applications, m > n and there is no x that satisfies Ax = b

Least squares problem: choose *x* that minimizes the residual norm r = Ax - b:

minimize
$$||Ax - b||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j - b_i\right)^2$$

- *x* is *variable*, *A*, *b* are called *data*, $||Ax b||^2$ is the *objective* function
- also called regression (in data fitting context)
- \hat{x} is a *solution* of the least squares problem if

$$||A\hat{x} - b||^2 \le ||Ax - b||^2$$
 for any *n*-vector *x*

- \hat{x} also called *least-squares approximate solution* of Ax = b

- if
$$\hat{r} = A\hat{x} - b = 0$$
, then \hat{x} solves linear equation $Ax = b$

Example



- Ax = b has no solution
- · least squares problem:

minimize
$$||Ax - b||^2 = (2x_1 - 1)^2 + (-x_1 + x_2)^2 + (2x_2 + 1)^2$$

- least squares solution is $\hat{x} = (1/3, -1/3)$
- $||A\hat{x} b||^2 = 2/3$ is smallest possible value of $||Ax b||^2$

least squares problem

Example: Advertising purchases

- *m* demographics groups (audiences), *n* advertising channels
- v_i^{des} is target number of views or impressions for group *i*
- R_{ij} is # views in group i per dollar spent on ads in channel j
- s_j is amount of advertising purchased in channel j
- (*Rs*)_{*i*} is total number of views in group *i*
- least squares problem: minimize $||Rs v^{des}||^2$ (ignoring $s \ge 0$ and budget)

Example: $m = 10, n = 3, v^{\text{des}} = 10^3 \times 1, \hat{s} = (62, 100, 1443)$



Example: Illumination

- *n* lamps illuminate an area divided in *m* regions
- *b_i* is target illumination level at region *i*
- x_j is power of lamp j
- A_{ij} is illumination in region *i* if lamp *j* is on with power 1, other lamps are off
- $(Ax)_i$ is illumination level at region i

Example: lamp positions and heights with $m = 25 \times 25$, n = 10



Illumination



least squares solution \hat{x} , with b = 1



Outline

- least squares problem
- solution and normal equations
- multi-objective least squares
- control
- estimation and inversion

Least squares solution

minimize $||Ax - b||^2$

Normal equations: a solution \hat{x} must satisfy the *normal equations:*

 $A^T A \hat{x} = A^T b$

and if A has linearly independent columns, then the solution is unique

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = A^{\dagger} b$$

- $A^{\dagger} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ is the psuedo-inverse of A, which is also a left inverse
- $\hat{x} = A^{\dagger}b$ solves the linear equation Ax = b if it has a solution
- if Ax = b does not have a solution, then $A\hat{x} \neq b$

Proof using algebra

suppose \hat{x} satisfies the normal equations $A^{T}(A\hat{x} - b) = 0$, then for any *n*-vector *x*

$$\begin{split} \|Ax - b\|^2 &= \|(Ax - A\hat{x}) + (A\hat{x} - b)\|^2 \\ &= \|A(x - \hat{x})\|^2 + \|A\hat{x} - b\|^2 + 2(A(x - \hat{x}))^T (A\hat{x} - b) \\ &= \|A(x - \hat{x})\|^2 + \|A\hat{x} - b\|^2 + 2(x - \hat{x})^T A^T (A\hat{x} - b) \\ &= \|A(x - \hat{x})\|^2 + \|A\hat{x} - b\|^2 \end{split}$$

• hence for any
$$x$$
, $||Ax - b||^2 \ge ||A\hat{x} - b||^2$

• if A has linearly independent columns, then

 $||Ax - b||^2 > ||A\hat{x} - b||^2$ (unique solution)

this is because $||A(x - \hat{x})||^2 = 0 \Rightarrow A(x - \hat{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow x = \hat{x}$

Geometric interpretation

let a_1, \ldots, a_n denote columns of A, then

$$||Ax - b||^{2} = ||(x_{1}a_{1} + \dots + x_{n}a_{n}) - b||^{2}$$

- $A\hat{x}$ is the vector in range $(A) = \text{span}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ closest to b
- $\hat{r} = A\hat{x} b$ is orthogonal to range(A): $\hat{r} \perp Aw$ for any w



• $A\hat{x} = AA^{\dagger}b$ is projection on range(A)

Example

given two different types of concrete:

- 1st contains 30% cement, 40% gravel, and 30% sand (percentages of weight)
- 2nd contains 10% cement, 20% gravel, and 70% sand

how many pounds of each type of concrete should you mix together so that you get a concrete mixture that has as close as possible to a total of 5 pounds of cement, 3 pounds of gravel, and 4 pounds of sand?

- letting x₁ and x₂ to be the amounts of concrete of the first and second types
- the above problem can be formulated as the least squares problem:

minimize
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^2 = \|Ax - b\|^2,$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)$

• since the columns of A are linearly independent, the solution is

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = \begin{bmatrix} 10.6\\0.961 \end{bmatrix}$$

QR factorization method

using QR factorization A = QR, we have

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = ((QR)^T (QR))^{-1} (QR)^T b$$
$$= (R^T Q^T QR)^{-1} R^T Q^T b$$
$$= R^{-1} Q^T b$$

- identical formula for solving Ax = b for square invertible A
- here \hat{x} gives least squares approximate solution to Ax = b

Algorithm

- 1. compute QR factorization $A = QR (2mn^2 \text{ flops if } A \text{ is } m \times n)$
- 2. matrix-vector product $Q^T b$ (2mn flops)
- 3. solve $Rx = Q^T b$ by back substitution (n^2 flops)

Complexity: $2mn^2$ flops

solution and normal equations

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -6 \\ 4 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 7 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

1. QR factorization: A = QR with

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 3/5 & 0\\ 4/5 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -10\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2. calculate $d = Q^T b = (5, 2)$
- 3. solve Rx = d

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 5 & -10 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 2 \end{array}\right]$$
 solution is $x_1 = 5, x_2 = 2$

Solving normal equations directly

given $m \times n$ matrix A with linearly independent columns and n-vector b

- 1. form $B = A^T A$ and $y = A^T b$
- 2. compute the Cholesky factorization $B = R^T R$ (*R* is lower triangular)
- 3. solve $R^T z = y$ for z using forward substitution
- 4. solve Rx = z for x using back substitution

Complexity: approximately $mn^2 + n^3/3$ (flops)

- step 1 costs mn²
- step 2 is approximately $n^3/3$ flops
- steps 3 and 4 cost order n^2 flops
- when $m \gg n$, the main cost becomes in forming the matrix $B = A^T A$

Comparison of the two methods

Complexity

- Cholesky method: $mn^2 + (1/3)n^3$ flops
- QR method: $2mn^2$ flops
- Cholesky method is faster by a factor of at most two (if $m \gg n$)

Numerical stability: QR factorization method is more stable

- QR method computes R without "squaring" A (*i.e.*, forming $A^{T}A$)
- this is important when the columns of A are "almost" linearly dependent

Example

- randomly create A and a vector b
- plot ratio of CPU times for using QR fact. over normal equations options



· normal equations method is more efficient

Code

```
for n = 300:100:1000
\% fill a rectangular matrix A and a vector b with random numbers
m = n+1: % or m = 3*n+1
A = randn(m,n); b = randn(m,1);
% solve and find execution times; first, Matlab way using QR
t0 = cputime;
xqr = A \setminus b;
temp = cputime;
tqr(n/100-2) = temp - t0;
% next use normal equations
t0 = temp;
B = A'*
A: v = A'*
b;
xne = B \setminus y;
temp = cputime;
tne(n/100-2) = temp - t0;
end
ratio = tqr./tne;
plot(300:100:1000,ratio)
```

Solving the normal equations

- last example shows direct method is faster
- · however, QR method is more stable as illustrated next

Example: a 3×2 matrix with "almost linearly dependent" columns

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 10^{-5} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10^{-5} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we round intermediate results to 8 significant decimal digits

Method 1: form Gram matrix $A^{T}A$ and solve normal equations

$$A^{T}A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1+10^{-10} \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{T}b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10^{-10} \end{bmatrix}$$

after rounding, the Gram matrix is singular; hence method fails

Method 2: QR factorization of A is

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix}$$

rounding does not change any values (in this example)

- problem with method 1 occurs when forming Gram matrix $A^{T}A$
- QR factorization method is more stable because it avoids forming $A^{T}A$

Standard methods for solving the linear least squares

Normal equations (Cholesky)

- fast, simple, intuitive
- can be unstable when columns of A are "almost" linearly dependent

QR factorization

- this is the "standard" approach (*e.g.*, in MATLAB)
- more robust than the normal equations approach
- more computationally expensive than the normal equations approach if $m \gg n$

Singular value decomposition (SVD) (more on this later in course)

- used mostly when columns of A are (almost) dependent
- very robust but more expensive than QR approach

Matrix least squares

minimize $||AX - B||_F^2$

- variable is the $n \times k$ matrix $X = [x_1 \cdots x_k]$
- A is an $m \times n$ matrix and B is an $m \times k$ matrix
- decouples into a set of k ordinary least squares since

$$||AX - B||_F^2 = ||Ax_1 - b_1||^2 + \dots + ||Ax_k - b_k||^2$$

where x_i is the *j*th column of X and b_i is the *j*th column of B

- can choose the columns x_j independently, by minimizing $||Ax_j b_j||^2$
- assuming A has linearly independent columns, the solution is $\hat{x}_i = A^{\dagger} b_i$ or

$$\hat{X} = A^{\dagger}B$$

solution and normal equations

Outline

- least squares problem
- solution and normal equations
- multi-objective least squares
- control
- estimation and inversion

Multi-objective least squares

choose *n*-vector *x* so that the following objectives are all small

$$J_1 = ||A_1x - b_1||^2, \dots, J_k = ||A_kx - b_k||^2$$

- A_i is an $m_i \times n$ matrix, b_i is an m_i -vector, i = 1, ..., k
- J_i are the objectives in a multi-objective (multi-criterion) optimization problem

Weighted sum objective: choose positive weights λ_i and find x that minimizes

$$J = \lambda_1 J_1 + \dots + \lambda_k J_k = \lambda_1 ||A_1 x - b_1||^2 + \dots + \lambda_k ||A_k x - b_k||^2$$

- we set $\lambda_1 = 1$, and call J_1 the *primary objective*
- λ_i gives how much we care about J_i being small, relative to J_1
- terms $\lambda_2 J_2, \ldots, \lambda_k J_k$ are called *regularization terms*

Weighted sum solution

write weighted-sum objective as

$$J = \left\| \left[\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \left(A_1 x - b_1 \right) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} \left(A_k x - b_k \right) \end{array} \right] \right\|^2$$

so we have $J = \|\tilde{A}x - \tilde{b}\|^2$, with

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} A_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} b_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Weighted sum solution: assuming columns of \tilde{A} are linearly independent,

$$\hat{x} = (\tilde{A}^T \tilde{A})^{-1} \tilde{A}^T \tilde{b}$$

= $(\lambda_1 A_1^T A_1 + \dots + \lambda_k A_k^T A_k)^{-1} (\lambda_1 A_1^T b_1 + \dots + \lambda_k A_k^T b_k)$

(here, A_i can be wide, or have dependent columns)

multi-objective least squares

Optimal trade-off curve

Bi-criterion problem: we let $\hat{x}(\lambda)$ be minimizer of bi-criterion objectives

$$J_1 + \lambda J_2 = \|A_1 x - b_1\|^2 + \lambda \|A_2 x - b_2\|^2$$

Pareto optimal point

- $\hat{x}(\lambda)$ is called *Pareto optimal*
- there is no point *z* that satisfies

$$J_1(z) < J_1(\hat{x}(\lambda)), \quad J_2(z) < J_2(\hat{x}(\lambda))$$

i.e., no other point beats \hat{x} on both objectives

Optimal trade-off curve

$$(J_1(\hat{x}(\lambda)), J_2(\hat{x}(\lambda)))$$
 for $\lambda > 0$

Example

 A_1 and A_2 both 10×5



• we can achieve a substantial reduction in J_2 with only a small increase in J_1

• weights are typically logarithmically spaced; for N values of $\lambda^{\min} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda^{\max}$:

$$\lambda^{\min}, \quad \theta \lambda^{\min}, \quad \theta^2 \lambda^{\min}, \dots, \quad \theta^{N-1} \lambda^{\min} = \lambda^{\max}$$

with
$$\theta = (\lambda^{\max}/\lambda^{\min})^{1/(N-1)}$$

multi-objective least squares

Tikhonov regularization

the weighted least squares problem

minimize
$$||Ax - y||^2 + \lambda ||x||^2$$

is known as Tikhonov regularization

- goal is to make ||Ax y|| small with x that is not too big
- equivalent to solving

$$(A^T A + \lambda I)x = A^T y$$

• solution is unique (if $\lambda > 0$) even when A has linearly dependent columns

Outline

- least squares problem
- solution and normal equations
- multi-objective least squares
- control
- estimation and inversion

Control

$$y = Ax + b$$

- *n*-vector *x* corresponds to *actions* or *inputs*
- m-vector y corresponds to results or outputs
- A and b are known (from analytical models, data fitting, ...)
- goal is to choose x, to optimize multiple objectives on x and y

Multi-objective control

- primary objective: $J_1 = ||y y^{des}||^2$, y^{des} is a given desired/target output
- typical secondary objectives:
 - -x is small: $J_2 = ||x||^2$
 - x is not far from a nominal input: $J_2 = ||x x^{\text{nom}}||^2$

Optimal input design

Linear dynamical system

 $y(t) = h_0 u(t) + h_1 u(t-1) + h_2 u(t-2) + \dots + h_t u(0)$

- output y(t) and input u(t) are scalar
- we assume input u(t) is zero for t < 0
- coefficients h_0, h_1, \ldots are the impulse response coefficients
- · output is convolution of input with impulse response

Optimal input design

- optimization variable is the input sequence $x = (u(0), u(1), \dots, u(N))$
- goal is to track a desired output using a small and slowly varying input

Input design objectives

minimize
$$J_{t}(x) + \lambda_{v}J_{v}(x) + \lambda_{m}J_{m}(x)$$

• primary objective: track desired output y_{des} over an interval [0, N]:

$$J_{\rm t}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N} (y(t) - y_{\rm des}(t))^2$$

• secondary objectives: use a small and slowly varying input signal:

$$J_{\rm m}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N} u(t)^2$$
$$J_{\rm v}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (u(t+1) - u(t))^2$$

Tracking error

$$J_{t}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N} (y(t) - y_{des}(t))^{2}$$
$$= ||A_{t}x - b_{t}||^{2}$$

with

$$A_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ h_{1} & h_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ h_{2} & h_{1} & h_{0} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{N-1} & h_{N-2} & h_{N-3} & \cdots & h_{0} & 0 \\ h_{N} & h_{N-1} & h_{N-2} & \cdots & h_{1} & h_{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{des}(0) \\ y_{des}(1) \\ y_{des}(2) \\ \vdots \\ y_{des}(N-1) \\ y_{des}(N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Input variation and magnitude

Input variation

$$J_{v}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (u(t+1) - u(t))^{2} = \|Dx\|^{2}$$

where D the $N \times (N + 1)$ difference matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Input magnitude

$$J_{\rm m}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{N} u(t)^2 = ||x||^2$$

Example





Outline

- least squares problem
- solution and normal equations
- multi-objective least squares
- control
- estimation and inversion

Estimation (inversion)

measurement model:

y = Ax + v

- *n*-vector *x* contains parameters we want to estimate
- *m*-vector *y* contains the *measurements*
- *m*-vector *v* are (unknown) *noises* or *measurement errors*
- $m \times n$ matrix A connects parameters to measurements

Least squares estimation

- we guess x by minimizing $J_1 = ||Ax y||^2$
- when v is nonzero or A has dependent columns, we cannot determine x exactly
- in this case, we add other objectives to encode prior information about x
 x is small: J₂ = ||x||²
 - x is not far from a nominal input: $J_2 = ||x x^{\text{nom}}||^2$

Example: estimating a periodic time series

- T-vector y is a (measured) time series, of a periodic time series with period P
- *P*-vector *x* gives its values over one period, so

$$\hat{y} = (x, x, \dots, x)$$

where we assume here for simplicity that T is a multiple of P

• we can express \hat{y} as $\hat{y} = Ax$, where A is the $T \times P$ selector matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \vdots \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

• we assume that the periodic time series is smooth

$$x_1 \approx x_2, \ldots, x_{P-1} \approx x_P, x_P \approx x_1$$

Example: estimating a periodic time series

we estimate the periodic time series by minimizing

$$\|Ax - y\|^2 + \lambda \|D^{\operatorname{circ}} x\|^2$$

where D^{circ} is the $P \times P$ circular difference matrix

$$D^{\rm circ} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: hourly ozone measurements



- 336-vector c of measurements with some missing values
- $c_{24(j-1)+i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 24$, contain hourly values on day $j, j = 1, \ldots, 14$
- $M_j \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$ is set with indices of available measurements on day j
- least squares objective:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{14} \sum_{i \in M_j} \left(x_i - \log \left(c_{24(j-1)+i} \right) \right)^2 + \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{23} \left(x_{i+1} - x_i \right)^2 + \left(x_1 - x_{24} \right)^2 \right)$$

results for $\lambda=1$ and $\lambda=100$



Example: least squares image deblurring

y = Ax + v

- x is unknown image, y is observed blurred noisy image
- A is (known) blurring matrix, v is (unknown) noise
- images are $M \times N$, stored as MN-vectors

Least squares deblurring

minimize
$$||Ax - y||^2 + \lambda (||D_h x||^2 + ||D_v x||^2)$$

- 1st term is "data fidelity" term: ensures $A\hat{x} \approx y$
- 2nd term penalizes differences between values at neighboring pixels

$$\|D_{h}x\|^{2} + \|D_{v}x\|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (X_{i,j+1} - X_{ij})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (X_{i+1,j} - X_{ij})^{2}$$

when X is the $M \times N$ image stored in the MN-vector x

estimation and inversion

Example: least squares image deblurring

suppose x is the $M \times N$ image X, stored column-wise as MN-vector

$$x = (X_{1:M,1}, X_{1:M,2}, \dots, X_{1:M,N})$$

• horizontal differencing: $(N-1) \times N$ block matrix with $M \times M$ blocks

$$D_{\rm h} = \begin{bmatrix} -I & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & I & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I & I \end{bmatrix}$$

• vertical differencing: $N \times N$ block matrix with $(M - 1) \times M$ blocks

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} D & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & D & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & D \end{array} \right], \quad D = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Example

 $\lambda = 10^{-6}$



 $\lambda = 10^{-4}$



 $\lambda = 10^{-2}$





 $\lambda = 1$

Example: tomography

goal: reconstruct a (density) function $d : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ from line integral measurements

- measurements obtained by passing a beam of radiation through region of interest, and measuring the intensity of the beam after it exits region
- used in medicine, manufacturing, networking, geology
 - common application: CAT (computer-aided tomography) scan

Line integral: parametrize line ℓ in 2-D as

$$p(t) = (x_0, y_0) + t(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$$

- (x_0, y_0) is any point on the line
- θ is angle measured from horizontal; *t* is length along line
- line integral of d on ℓ is $\int_\ell d = \int_{-\infty}^\infty d(p(t)) dt$
- can be extended to 3-D

Line integral measurements

- assume d is constant on pixel (or voxel) i with value x_i
- measurement of integral along line *i* through region is

$$y_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(p(t))dt + v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij}x_j + v_i$$
 where v_i is small noise

- A_{ij} is the length of measurement line *i* in pixel *j*
- in matrix-vector form: y = Ax + v



Least squares tomographic reconstruction

minimize $||Ax - y||^2 + \lambda (||D_v x||^2 + ||D_h x||^2)$

 $D_{
m v}$ and $D_{
m h}$ are defined as in image deblurring example

Example



- left: 4000 lines (100 points, 40 lines per point)
- right: object placed in the square region on the left
- region of interest is divided in 10000 pixels

Regularized least squares reconstruction

 $\lambda = 10^{-2}$



3 Ξ III 4 Ξ III 5 Ξ III

 $\lambda = 1$

 $\lambda = 10^{-1}$



 $\lambda = 5$



Regularized least squares reconstruction

 $\lambda = 1$



 $\lambda = 10$



 $\lambda = 5$



 $\lambda = 100$



References and further readings

- S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. Introduction to Applied Linear Algebra: Vectors, Matrices, and Least Squares, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- L. Vandenberghe. *EE133A lecture notes*, Univ. of California, Los Angeles. (http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~vandenbe/ee133a.html)